WHITEPAPER

The Intake Is the Touchpoint

Why the convenience economy of health is undermining its own promise, and how the industry can turn that around

habintel

Small nudge. Big shift.

behavior intelligence inside

THE SHIFT NOBODY ANNOUNCED

Healthy living has always been hard to sustain. Exercise, nutrition, sleep, stress: forty years of public health campaigns have proven that knowledge and good intentions lose, structurally, to an environment designed for convenience.

The market has found an answer, and it is not a campaign. It is an intake. The personalised capsule based on blood values. The weekly injection that regulates appetite. The carefully composed stack of nutrition, supplements and hormones for menopause. Health is shifting from something you do to something you take, and that shift is understandable, commercially logical and irreversible. Pointing at it in outrage repeats the mistake of forty years of prevention: pushing against the direction people are already moving in.

But there is a risk inside this shift that the industry itself has barely named, and it undermines the promise from within.

THE INDULGENCE

Whoever takes something for their health every day holds daily proof that health has already been taken care of. Behavioral science knows this mechanism well: one visible healthy decision buys exemption for the rest. The capsule becomes an indulgence. Why exercise when the dosage is already correct? Why eat differently when the injection manages the appetite?

A second mechanism compounds it: when someone feels better, the intake gets the credit, even when the walk or the night's sleep did the work. The product crowds the behavior out of the story people tell themselves. And so the intake does not become the addition to healthy behavior that every label promises, but gradually its replacement.

We have seen this pattern before. Processed food promised convenience within every legal norm, and delivered volume without health. The parallel is uncomfortable but precise: here too everything stays within the rules, here too regulation is thin, and here too it is not the law but the design that decides whether convenience serves health or displaces it.

THE SILENT SECOND HALF OF THE PROBLEM

The first problem is that the intake displaces behavior. The second is that the intake itself is not sustained, and nobody sees it happen.

The patterns repeat across every category. The daily capsule turns irregular after a few weeks: no felt effect, no feedback, no social accountability, and skipping is invisible, even to the user. Of the people starting weight loss medication, the available research suggests a large share stops within the first year, after which the weight returns in most cases. And whoever follows a multi-component menopause program quietly drops elements long before the effect could be judged.

For the provider this is a double loss. The customer who stops doing, stops paying shortly after. And the customer who was half consistent sees a disappointing result, blames the product and tells others. While the formula from the lab was right, and the problem lived in the bathroom: in the daily intake nobody saw.

Every provider that measures its effect without measuring consistency of use has an uninterpretable result: was it the product, or was it the usage? As long as that question stays open, every claim is

vulnerable, and public scrutiny of claims in these categories is increasing, not decreasing.

THE REVERSAL

And yet this paper is not a warning but an invitation, because inside the shift sits an opportunity public health has never had.

For decades, prevention searched for one thing: a daily moment to reach people about their health. It was never found. The convenience economy has built it by accident. The daily intake is the most consistent, most wanted and paid-for health touchpoint ever adopted at scale: a ritual, a data relationship and a repeat moment in one.

The only question is what that moment gets loaded with. With nothing, and it becomes the indulgence. With behavior, and it becomes the lever. That is not a matter of more education; it is a matter of design.

SIX DESIGN PRINCIPLES

What does loading with behavior mean in practice? Six principles, each built on established behavioral science, each executable inside the existing customer journey of a brand.

Make the intake the anchor, not the endpoint. The intake is the most reliable habit the customer has. Attach exactly one minimal behavior to it: capsule, glass of water, two minutes outside. Small enough to never refuse, large enough to grow. The product becomes the peg on which behavior forms, instead of the excuse through which it disappears.

Count the identity, do not proclaim it. Whoever does something for their health every day starts to see themselves as someone who takes care of their health, and people act in line with who they believe they are. But that identity must be counted, not asserted: "day 34" convinces, "you are a health hero now" provokes resistance. The user draws the conclusion themselves, and self-drawn conclusions are the only ones that hold.

Use the measurement moment as a fresh start. A blood result, a weigh-in, a retest: these are natural windows in which people are willing to form an intention. Attach exactly one concrete plan beyond the intake to every result, self-chosen, with a time and a place. One, not five. The result is the nudge, not the sermon.

Design the attribution. The most important and most neglected principle. Never let the feedback say "the product worked"; always "the combination worked": your values improved, and you took your capsule on 84 of 90 days, and you kept your walking routine. Assigning credit to behavior is the direct antidote to the indulgence, and it is only possible when behavior is measured. Whoever does not measure gives all credit to the product by default, and feeds precisely the mechanism that makes their customers quit.

Sell behavior as return, not as duty. The old prevention language does not work in the convenience economy. This does: exercise increases what your supplement does for you; strength training protects what the injection earns you. Behavior as return on the purchase already made, riding the investment instead of competing with the convenience.

Let the user add the egg. In the 1950s, the fully complete cake mix flopped in the United States, while the version to which you added a fresh egg yourself became a lasting success. Historians debate the details of that story, but the mechanism behind it has been confirmed many times since: people value and keep what they helped make, and own contribution creates ownership. For the convenience economy of health the lesson is direct: a product the customer contributes nothing to will never belong to the customer. Build at least one meaningful own action into every journey: the self-chosen anchor, the self-set start date, the personal goal next to the intake. One egg, not a baking course: the contribution must be small, but it must be theirs. The paradox that follows deserves a place in every boardroom: the industry optimises toward zero friction, and thereby optimises the ownership out. Convenience wins the purchase; own contribution wins the follow-through.

WHAT THIS EARNS THE INDUSTRY

Whoever builds these principles into their customer journey wins three times.

Commercially: the customer who keeps going sees effect, and the customer who sees effect renews. In business models built on repetition, follow-through is not a service topic but the revenue dial itself.

Scientifically: with usage data, a brand's own evidence finally becomes interpretable, and the claim nobody else can make becomes possible: what the product does under consistent use, measured and segmented. In categories where claims face growing scrutiny, that is not marketing but a license to operate.

Societally: the brand that loads the intake with behavior resolves precisely the objection raised against its category. Not with a disclaimer, but with a design. And it returns something larger than the category itself: in an economy that optimises own contribution away, this design gives people daily, experienced proof that they themselves influence their health, and with it the course of their life. The pressure now on the sector becomes, for that brand, a head start.

IN CLOSING: THE CHOICE ON THE TABLE

The shift toward convenience is a fact. The open question is whether the daily intake becomes the biggest missed health moment of this decade, or the most powerful behavioral instrument the industry has ever held. That will not be decided by regulation or by education, but by whoever takes the touchpoint seriously first.

That is what we work on. Habintel is the behavior layer inside brands and programs whose revenue depends on what people keep doing: we measure follow-through, see drop-off coming and nudge at the moment it matters, invisibly, under the provider's own brand. And we measure what actually happens in these markets: not what people buy or promise, but what they do.

Small nudge. Big shift. *behavior intelligence inside*

Want to talk? Book directly: cal.com/maarten-neeskens-aoijqe/kennismaking-habintel